IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.O.C.P. No.327 of 1999
Date of Decision:- 18.12.2006

Smt.Salochna Bansal ....Petitioner(s)

through
None.

vs.

Sh.P.Ravinder Rao & anr. ....Respondent(s)

through
None.

***

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

***

SURYA KANT, J.

This contempt petition has been filed, *inter alia,* alleging non-compliance of the order dated 2.7.1998 passed by this Court in C.W.P.No.2321 of 1997.

Vide the aforementioned order, the writ petition filed by the petitioner was disposed of in the same terms as in C.W.P.No.9828 of 1994 (**Krishan Kumar Singla** Vs. **State of Haryana and others** decided on 16.9.1997). In **Krishan Kumar Singla's case** (supra), a direction was issued to the HUDA Authorities "to accept the surrender of the plot made by the petitioner within three months of the submission of the certified copy of this order and refund the amount to the petitioner along with interest at the rate of 18 per cent per annum."

After issuance of show cause notice, it was brought to the notice of this Court that the respondents have filed review application No.724 of 1999 in the above-stated case.

Consequently, this petition was adjourned sine die, to be listed after the disposal of above-stated review application.

The office has reported that R.A.No.724 of 1999 has already been dismissed by this Court vide order dated 19.7.2002.

It appears that after the dismissal of the review application, the order passed in the main case must have been implemented by the respondents. However, if the same has not been done so far, the Estate Officer, HUDA at Sonepat is directed to comply with the afore-stated order and to refund the deposit made by the petitioner along with interest, as awarded by this Court, within a period of three months from today. If the needful is not done, the petitioner shall be entitled to get these proceedings revived.

With these directions, the petition is disposed of.

Rule discharged.

December 18, 2006 **(SURYA KANT)**
poonam **JUDGE**